

ADC Comment	Response
7A-P1 mentions support being given to 'businesses where additional jobs created'. How is this to be assessed?	Policy changed to read - Existing employment sites will be retained unless it can be shown that they are no longer viable and that the proposed alternative would provide equal or greater benefits to the local community than the current use.
7A-P3 "where appropriate to local environment" – what criteria would make it "appropriate" or not? Is this meant to be locational or plan wide? It may be worth re-evaluating how this policy could add detail locally.	Appropriate means where it would sit within the locality without detriment to the amenities of that locality.
7A-P4 "concentration" – how is this defined/measured?	defined now as a proportion of the sum total of retail frontages
7B-P2, 7C-P2, 7D-P2, 7F-P1 – Are these intended to help to make decisions on planning applications? It may be worth distinguishing between policies which are aspirational from those which are intended to be for development management purposes.	Removed and added to the Community Action Plan
8A-P1 Who will prepare the Felpham Design Statement and how will this fit with the proposed emerging ADC design guide?	Felpham PC will create the design guide which will add design issues specific to Felpham and sit alongside the ADC guide which has yet to be produced

ADC Comment	Response
<p>8B-P2 In order to take account of anything that might be in or identified through the Shoreline Management Plans, you may want to add “and/or are identified through the Shoreline Management Plan”.</p>	<p>Agree</p>
<p>8C-P2 The water efficiency standard of 80 l/person/day represents a very significant improvement on the Approved Document G standard of 125 l so very careful selection of fixtures and fittings will be required to make this work.</p>	<p>Noted</p>
<p>8C-P3 It is assumed that everyone knows what operation water shed is but explanation of what it is would help other readers. This policy could benefit from re-wording, perhaps along the lines of “ Prior to the completion of operation watershed development proposals will need to demonstrate that they do not exacerbate flood risk to the area”.</p>	<p>Don't agree to change. Survey has shown that Felpham residents expect a tough line to be taken to ensure flooding is not repeated</p>
<p>8C-P5 Is there a list of these buildings? How can applicants know whether it applies to them and if the buildings are not listed or in a conservation area then there is limited scope to prevent demolition.</p>	<p>Add as an appendix</p>

ADC Comment	Response
<p>C-P6 Many of the policies such as this one do not anything local and mostly repeat</p> <p>ADC policies. It may be prudent to stand back and consider whether they are really adding to general ADC policies and in some cases how you might be able to go further if you can justify it that is of course.</p>	<p>Policy amended</p>
<p>8C-P8 There is a potential conformity issue with this policy regarding explicit reference to access only to the north. Dependent on when it would be expected to be heading for examination, it would either need to be in conformity with Dev 15 of Arun Local Plan 2003 which is the whole link road as shown on the maps, or it would need to be in conformity with policies DM6 and SP23 of the latest iteration, which is not as explicit. It may be helpful if we discuss this policy and the best way forward for all.</p>	<p>Felpham PC do not support the creation of an access from the south as this would lead to increased congestion in Felpham and would impinge on the Type A Green Infrastructure Corridor.</p> <p>Residents of Felpham have overwhelmingly indicated that traffic congestion at the Butlins roundabout must be improved. A southern access would increase and exacerbate this problem.</p>
<p>8D-P2 Ensure that this policy does say what you want it to.</p>	<p>Policy changed</p>
<p>8E-P1</p> <p>This does not seem to be a policy to aid determination. Perhaps more of a validation list issue?</p>	<p>Policy changed</p>

ADC Comment	Response
<p>The Assets of community value paragraph is incorrect as it reads like there are ACV's in Felpham but currently there are none. This policy should either be removed or revised once you have been through the process of having the proposed Assets designated. ADC welcomes the initiative of adding these buildings as assets of community value. The parish council should ensure they register the buildings using the ADC procedure to mitigate any unwanted circumstances in the future. The NDP group through the parish council should seek to nominate the buildings listed as Assets of Community Value to make this policy viable. Please see the procedure to embark on this.</p>	<p>It was necessary to add a proposed list to go out to survey. Further assets have been proposed by the community and these will now be added to the list and sent to ADC for consideration.</p>
<p>10B-P1 The policy in its current form is very sweeping and inspirational which does not outline what need is intended. Perhaps along the lines of "sufficient to meet identified need" (what is the evidence base?) or " use opportunities that arise from development to address and improve health care provision".</p>	<p>Policy changed</p>
<p>10C-P3 This policy could be strengthened by amending it to outline any uses that may be considered inappropriate.</p>	<p>Don't understand the comment</p>

ADC Comment	Response
<p>There is no clear policy identifying the allocation of Local green Spaces – reference is made to them in 10C-P4 which states that “Redevelopment of areas shown on the Proposals Map ( Appendix D) as Local Green Spaces will not be supported”. There is no other mention. I would suggest a policy in which it clearly states that the council has identified the areas and what the implications of that are.</p> <p>Neighbourhood Plans are able to designate Local Green Spaces (see paras 76-77 in the NPPF). This is an important opportunity for Neighbourhoods to identify and protect open space which is valuable locally – the criteria in the NPPF should be followed as part of your designation work.</p>	<p>Amend policy to read - The Parish Council has designated areas shown in Appendix D as Local Green Space in accordance with paras 76-77 of the National Planning Policy Framework. Proposals for redevelopment of land identified as Local Green Space will not be supported.</p>
<p>10E-P1 Will the monitoring being done by the parish council? How will this be implemented/ delivered? It is recommended that a discussion is had with the County Council.</p>	<p>Policy moved to Community Action Plan</p>
<p>Some of the planning history is not planning history in the sites table – it relates to building regs (applications ending in B, BN or BR</p>	<p>Agree</p>

ADC Comment	Response
<p>The ADC Local Plan (2003) policies related to Listed buildings do not exist anymore, they were deleted a few years ago as they were replicated in the then PPG 15. We now have to rely on the NPPF for the moment (along with the relevant legislation). Please check to see if this applies to the other 2003 policies referred to.</p>	<p>Add reference to the NPPF into the polices and remove the 2003 Listed Building and Conservation Area policies</p>