

Consultee	Comment	Response
WSSC - Planning and Transport Policy Team	<p>In general, the County Council looks for Neighbourhood Plans to be in conformity with the District and Borough Councils' latest draft or adopted development plans. The County Council supports the District and Borough Councils in preparing the evidence base for these plans and aligns its own infrastructure plans with them. The County Council encourages Parish Councils to make use of this information which includes transport studies examining the impacts of proposed development allocations. Where available this information will be published on its website or that of the relevant Local Planning Authority. In relation to its own statutory functions, the County Council expects all Neighbourhood Plans to take due account of its policy documents and their supporting Sustainability Appraisals. These documents include the West Sussex Waste Local Plan, Minerals Local Plan and West Sussex Transport Plan. It is also recommended that published County Council service plans, for example Planning School Places, are also taken into account.</p> <p>The following specific strategic consideration should be noted:</p> <p>8C-P8: This policy for the proposed access to the Bognor Regis Enterprise Zone is currently too prescriptive. A suitable access strategy is still to be determined and although the primary access is likely to be from the north of the site, an additional access to the south of the site should not be precluded if there is sufficient justification. It is suggested that the policy is framed more positively to seek opportunities to enhance the Green Infrastructure corridor.</p>	<p>Felpham PC do not support the creation of an access from the south as this would lead to increased congestion in Felpham and would impinge on the Type A Green Infrastructure Corridor.</p> <p>Residents of Felpham have overwhelmingly indicated that traffic congestion at the Butlins roundabout must be improved. A southern access would increase and exacerbate this problem.</p>
Environment Agency	We note that there are no proposed housing allocations within this plan. In this case we have no specific comments to make at this stage.	Noted no action required at this time
Highways Agency	We do not have any comments at this time, but please continue to consult us.	Noted no action required at this time

Consultee	Comment	Response
Natural England	Natural England does not consider that this plan poses any likely or significant risk to nationally designated nature conservation sites and so does not wish to make specific comments on the neighbourhood plan.	Noted no action required at this time
Southern Water	<p>Southern Water understands the Neighbourhood Plan's intention to protect designated local green space from development. However, we can not support Policy 10C-P4 on page 23 for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The policy could create barriers for the statutory utility provider (i.e Southern Water) to deliver essential infrastructure on time to service planned development allocated in the District or Neighbourhood Plan. 2. New sewerage infrastructure (e.g. new pumping stations need to be located in close proximity to the existing sewerage network. This limits the options for the location of new infrastructure. <p>Southern Water therefore proposes the following additional text to Policy 10C-P4 <u>(new text underlined)</u>:</p> <p>10C-P4 – Redevelopment of the areas shown on the Proposals Map (Appendix D) as Local Green Spaces will not be supported. <u>Exceptions will only be made where the benefit of the development outweighs any harm, and it can be demonstrated there are no reasonable alternative sites available.</u></p> <p>We note that your Neighbourhood Plan does not contain any site specific options and the last version of the Arun Local Plan, which was subject to consultation, does not identify any specific housing allocation for Felpham. I take this opportunity to let you know that if specific site options do come forward, Southern Water could carry out a sewerage capacity assessment in order to investigate the risk of flooding and inform the Parish Council whether existing capacity is sufficient to service the proposals. Planning policies/allocations and planning conditions can then be worded to incorporate infrastructure requirements, and provide early warning to developers to facilitate delivery.</p>	<p>Agree to add <u>Exceptions will only be made where the benefit of the development outweighs any harm, and it can be demonstrated there are no reasonable alternative sites available.</u></p> <p>This addition strengthens the policy.</p>

Consultee	Comment	Response
Felpham Village Conservation Society	Felpham is full and needs no more building schemes. We are in total agreement with the vision statement that Felpham is a unique parish and it should be valued and protected. That statement should guide the future plan.	Noted no action required at this time
Marine Management Organisation	Suggest that reference be included to the Marine and Coastal Access Act (2009), Marine Policy Statement, Marine Plans and Marine Licensing, in order to ensure that all relevant regulations is included. In particular reference to the Marine and Coastal Act (2009) and Marine Policy Statement would be welcomed in paragraph 2.5 which discusses the Planning System.	Add to section 2.5